UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

V.

Case No. 23-10049

F. Kay Behm
United States District Judge

CHARLES JAMSEN, et al.,

Curtis Ivy
United States Magistrate Judge

Defendants.

AMENDED OPINION AND ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S DECEMBER 19, 2023 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (ECF No. 48)

Currently before the Court is Magistrate Judge Curtis Ivy's December 19, 2023 Report and Recommendation. (ECF No. 48). Magistrate Judge Ivy recommends that Defendants' motions for summary judgment (ECF Nos. 23, 35, 43) be granted. (ECF No. 48). The court is fully advised in the premises and has reviewed the record and the pleadings. Neither party has filed objections. "[T]he failure to object to the magistrate judge's report[] releases the Court from its duty to independently review the matter." *Hall v. Rawal*, 2012 WL 3639070 (E.D. Mich. Aug. 24, 2012) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985)). The court nevertheless agrees with the Magistrate Judge's recommended disposition Therefore, the court **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation (ECF No. 48) and **GRANTS** Defendants' motions for summary judgment (ECF Nos. 23, 35, 43).

SO ORDERED.

Date: January 16, 2024 <u>s/F. Kay Behm</u>

F. Kay Behm

United States District Judge